

A Single Center Retrospective Analysis of Inflammatory Dermatologic Diagnoses and Misdiagnoses Associated with Dermatomyositis



Aaron Bao¹, Christopher A. Mecoli², Will Kelly², Myma Albayda², Brittany Adler², Julie Paik², Lisa Christopher-Stine², Eleni Tiniakou², Jun Kang^{1*}

1. Department of Dermatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

2. Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Introduction	Objective	Methods	
 Dermatomyositis (DM) is an idiopathic inflammatory myopathy with distinct skin symptoms. Accurate diagnosis of DM's skin manifestations is crucial for timely screening of comorbidities like interstitial lung disease and malignancy^{1,2}. The protean nature of DM's skin manifestations often leads to misdiagnosis.³ DM patients may also experience increased rates of other skin conditions due to immunological disruptions from underlying autoimmune disease or systemic treatments.⁴ 	To determine the prevalence of dermatologic and SLE related diagnoses among individuals with DM and to characterize the misdiagnosis process, particularly concerning DM-mimicking diseases, including SLE, eczema, psoriasis, rosacea, among others.	 Retrospective cohort study of 167 dermatomyositis (DM) from Epic[™] diagnoses related to dermatologic or SLE-related ICD assigned to one of 40 relevant disease groupings, 20 of we demographics and clinical misdiagnosis were determined throw was classified as a potential misdiagnosis if it occurred before diagnosis. Each misdiagnosis was confirmed through careful characteristic inclusion criteria: Adult-onset dermatomyositis > 1 Johns Hopkins dermatology visit 1 or more dermatological (LXXX or skin cancer C44.XX) or SLE-related (M32.X) ICD code. 	 h the Johns Hopkins Myositis Center registry. codes were identified. Each diagnosis was which were deemed inflammatory. Patient ough retrospective chart review. A diagnosis re DM diagnosis and disappeared after DM art review. Exclusion criteria: Juvenile DM and mixed connective tissue disorder.



Table 1: Cohort demographics		Table 2: Misdiagnosis of inflammatory or SLE diagnoses in patients with DM								
Total cohort size Age at diagnosis, years (mean, SD)	167 48.6 (13.9)	Disease group	Prevalence (%)	Pre-DM diagnosis (%)	Misdiagnosis (% of pre-DM)	True Prevalence (% of cohort)	Misdiagnosed (% of cohort)	Misdiagnosis, days, average (SD) ^b	Misdiagnosis, days, median	
Sex (n %)		Eczema	60 (36%)	23 (38%)	16 (70%)	44 (26%)	16 (10%)	463 (659)	239	
	22 (200/)	other	29 (17%)	12 (41%)	9 (75%)	20 (12%)	9 (5%)	694 (798)	241	
IVIAIE	32 (20%)	contact	18 (11%)	6 (33%)	5 (83%)	13 (8%)	5 (3%)	173 (137)	194	
Female	135 (80%)	seborrheic	16 (10%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	14 (8%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
Race (n, %)		atopic	13 (8%)	7 (54%)	2 (29%)	11 (7%)	2 (1%)	154 (116)	154	
White	115 (69%)	Dermatitis unspecified ^c	52 (31%)	15 (29%)	11 (73%)	41 (25%)	11 (7%)	182 (179)	109	
Black	22 (10%)	Rosacea	17 (10%)	7 (41%)	3 (43%)	14 (8%)	3 (2%)	122 (75)	114	
DIACK	52 (1970)	SLE	15 (9%)	10 (29%)	7 (70%)	8 (5%)	7 (4%)	417 (735)	71	
Asian	14 (8%)	Psoriasis	14 (8%)	4 (29%)	3 (75%)	11 (7%)	3 (2%)	84 (36)	98	
Hispanic	7 (4%)	Acneiform	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
Cancer-associated DM ^a (n, %)		Urticaria	10 (6%)	3 (30%)	1 (33%)	9 (5%)	1 (1%)	31 (0)	31	
Yes	23 (14%)	CLE	7 (4%)	5 (71%)	4 (80%)	3 (2%)	4 (2%)	353 (324)	236	
		Lichenoid ^d	6 (4%)	3 (50%)	1 (33%)	5 (3%)	1 (1%)	461 (0)	461	
NO	144 (86%)	Granulomatous	5 (3%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	5 (3%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
Interstitial Lung Disease (n, %)		SJS/TEN/EM	3 (2%)	1 (33%)	1 (100%)	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	11 (0)	11	
Yes	70 (42%)	Dermatitis, interface	3 (2%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
No	97 (58%)	Vasculitis	2 (1%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
Muscle involvement (n. 9/)		Panniculitis	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
iviuscie involvement (n, %)		Pemphigoid	1 (1%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A	
L N/wanathia	106(620/)									

Iviyopatilic		Sclerotic	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A
Amyopathic/hypomyopathic	61 (37%)	Neutrophilic Dermatosis	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	N/A	N/A

		Table 3:	Cohort out	tcomes		Discussion	Conclusions and Limitations
Mean dermat	cologic or t	SLE diagnos	es (SD)		3.4 (2.6)		Conclusiones
Misdiagnosis'	* (n <i>,</i> %)					Frequent diagnoses:	Conclusions:
Yes					41/167 (25%)	 Eczema (36% of patients), dermatitis unspecified (31%), rosacea (10%), SLE (8%) and pageiasia (8%) were the most frequently diagnosed 	• Our single center study reveals a night burden of inflammatery skin diseases another
Myopath	іс				21/106 (19.8%)	SLE (8%), and psoriasis (8%) were the most frequently diagnosed	DM patients with earoms dermatitic and
Amyopat	hic/hypor	nyopathic			20/61 (32.8%)	• Eczoma other (17%) was the most diagnosed form of eczoma (eczoma	no patients, with eczema, dermatitis, and
No					126 (75%)	unspecified nummular eczematous dermatitis etc)	 Common inflammatory dormatologic
Mean duratio	on of misd	iagnosis, da	ys (SD)		344 (546)	unspecifieu, nummulai, eczematous uermatitis, etcj.	diseases (eczema nsoriasis rosacea) may be
Median durat	ion of mis	sdiagnosis, d	days		142	Misdiagnosis among conditions diagnosed nre-DM ·	more prevalent in DM patients compared to
Table 4: Biopsy outcomes of patients with misdiagnosis, n = 48 (29%)				h misdiagnosi	s, n = 48 (29%)	• CLE (80% misdiagnosed), psoriasis (75%), dermatitis unspecified (73%),	the general population.
Disease	No biopsy	Spongioti c	Interface	Perivascular infiltrate	Total biopsies (% of disease group)	 eczema (70%), and SLE (70%) had the highest rates of misdiagnosis among inflammatory conditions pre-DM diagnosis. Contact eczema was misdiagnosed most frequently (83%) among eczema 	• CLE, SLE, psoriasis, contact eczema, and dermatitis were the most misdiagnosed conditions, with misdiagnosis lasting over a
Eczema	5	1	9	1	11 (69%)	subtypes, suggesting DM eruptions may clinically resemble this localized	year in many cases.
other	2	0	5	1	6 (75%)	process.	• Skin biopsies often drove true diagnosis,
atopic	1	0	2	0	2 (66%)	 6 patients had more than one misdiagnosis. 	though histopathologic exceptions exist.
contact	2	1	2	0	3 (60%)	 CLE, eczema, and SLE had the longest durations of misdiagnosis, 	 Provider education and tailoring the
Dermatitis unspecified	1	0	9	1	10 (90%)	indicating diagnostic difficulties differentiating these diseases from DM.	diagnostic differential may enable more timely and accurate diagnosis of DM's varied
Rosacea	1	0	2	0	2 (66%)	Biopsies:	presentations.
SLE	1	0	6	0	6 (86%)	 Skin biopsies often led to diagnosis revision, though some showed 	Limitations:
Psoriasis	1	0	1	1	2 (66%)	unexpected findings like spongiotic dermatitis rather than classic DM	 Single center retrospective design

Urticaria	0	0	1	0	1 (100%)	interface dermatitis
CLE	0	0	4	0	4 (100%)	Clinical-pathologic
Lichenoid	0	0	1	0	1 (100%)	remains imperfect.
SJS/TEN/EM	1	0	0	0	0 (0%)	

• • •	1
Interface	dermatitis.

inical-pathologic correlation aids diagnosis of complex skin diseases but

•	Diagnoses	that	came	after	DM	diagnosis
	were not a	nalyze	ed			
•	Not all mise	diagn	oses ha	ad skin	biop	sies

Contact information:	^a Cancer diagnosis +/- 5 years of DM diagnosis. ^b Days between first instance of disease group diagnosis and confirmation of DM.	References: 1. Hallowell RW, Paik JJ. PMID: 33769263
Aaron Bao (abao2@jhmi.edu)	^c Epic diagnoses: dermal hypersensitivity reaction, pruritic rash, intertrigo, chronic dermatitis, dermatitis, facial dermatitis, hand dermatitis,	2. Olazagasti JM et al. PMID: 25721032
Jun Kang (ikang60@ihmi.edu)	periorbital dermatitis, vulvar dermatitis, radiation dermatitis, perioral dermatitis, periorificial dermatitis	3. Yang SH et al. PMID: 32743506
	^d Non-DM manifestations. Epic diagnoses: lichenoid dermatitis, lichen planus, oral lichen planus, lichen planopilaris, lichen sclerosus.	4. Hornung T, Wenzel J. PMID: 24939511